



GRANTED WITH MODIFICATIONS

IN THE COURT OF CHANCERY OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

AARON OFFRINGA AND MICHAEL
FARZAD, Individually and on Behalf of
All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

DMY SPONSOR II, LLC, HARRY L.
YOU, NICCOLO DE MASI, DARLA K.
ANDERSON, FRANCESCA LUTHI, and
CHARLES E. WERT,

Defendants.

C.A. No. 2023-0929-LWW

[PROPOSED] ORDER AND FINAL JUDGMENT

WHEREAS, the above-captioned stockholder class action is pending in this Court (the "Action");

WHEREAS, a Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement, Compromise, and Release, dated as of October 10, 2025 (the "Stipulation"), has been entered into by and among the following parties: (i) Plaintiffs Aaron Offringa and Michael Farzad ("Plaintiffs"), on behalf of themselves and the Class (as defined herein); and (ii) Defendants dMY Sponsor II, Harry L. You, Niccolo de Masi, Darla K Anderson, Francesca Luthi, and the Estate of Charles E. Wert (collectively, "Defendants," and together with Plaintiffs, the "Parties," and each a "Party"); and

WHEREAS, the Stipulation provides for a settlement, subject to the approval of the Court, among the Parties and for dismissal of the Action with prejudice as

against Defendants upon the terms and conditions set forth in the Stipulation (the “Settlement”); and

WHEREAS, except for terms defined herein, the Court adopts and incorporates the definitions in the Stipulation for purposes of this Order and Final Judgment; and

WHEREAS, by Order dated November 25, 2025 (the “Scheduling Order”), the Court (i) preliminarily certified the Class solely for purposes of effectuating the Settlement; (ii) ordered that notice of the proposed Settlement be provided to potential Class Members (as defined herein); (iii) provided Class Members with the opportunity to object to the proposed Settlement, the proposed Plan of Allocation, and/or Plaintiffs’ Counsel’s application for a Fee and Expense Award (as defined below); and (iv) scheduled a hearing regarding final approval of the Settlement; and

WHEREAS, the Court conducted a hearing on February 23, 2026 (the “Settlement Hearing”) to: (i) determine whether to finally certify the Class for settlement purposes only, pursuant to Court of Chancery Rules 23(a), 23(b)(1), and 23(b)(2); (ii) determine whether Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs’ Counsel have adequately represented the Class, and whether Plaintiffs should be finally appointed as Class representatives for the Class and Plaintiffs’ Counsel should be finally appointed as Class counsel for the Class; (iii) determine whether the proposed Settlement should be approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Class and in the best interests of

the Class; (iv) determine whether the Action should be dismissed with prejudice and the Releases provided under the Stipulation should be granted; (v) determine whether the Order and Final Judgment approving the Settlement should be entered; (vi) determine whether the proposed Plan of Allocation of the Net Settlement Fund is fair and reasonable, and should therefore be approved; (vii) determine whether and in what amount any Fee and Expense Award should be paid to Plaintiffs' Counsel out of the Settlement Fund and whether and in what amount any service award to each named Plaintiff should be paid out of the Fee and Expense Award; (viii) hear and rule on any objections to the Settlement, the proposed Plan of Allocation, and/or Plaintiffs' Counsel's application for a Fee and Expense Award; and (ix) consider any other matters that may be properly brought before the Court in connection with the Settlement; and

WHEREAS, it appearing that due notice of the hearing has been given in accordance with the Scheduling Order; the Parties having appeared by their respective attorneys of record; the Court having heard and considered evidence in support of the proposed Settlement, the Plan of Allocation, and the application by Plaintiffs' Counsel for a Fee and Expense Award and any service award to each named Plaintiff; the attorneys for the respective Parties having been heard; an opportunity to be heard having been given to all Class Members or other Persons requesting to be heard in accordance with the Scheduling Order; the Court having

determined that notice to Class Members was adequate and sufficient; and the entire matter of the proposed Settlement having been heard and considered by the Court;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:

1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Action, and all matters relating to the Settlement, as well as personal jurisdiction over the Parties, and the Class Members, and it is further determined that Plaintiffs, Defendants, and the Class, as well as any and all of their respective representatives, trustees, executors, administrators, estates, heirs, successors in interest, successors, predecessors in interest, predecessors, transferees, and assigns, immediate and remote, and any Person acting for or on behalf of, or claiming under, any of them, and each of them, together with their respective successors in interest, successors, predecessors in interest, predecessors, transferees, and assigns, are bound by this Order and Final Judgment.

2. The mailing of the Notice, substantially in the form attached as Exhibit B to the Stipulation, the Proof of Claim, substantially in the form attached as Exhibit B-1 to the Stipulation (together with the Notice, the “Notice Package”), and publication of the Summary Notice, substantially in the form attached as Exhibit C to the Stipulation, pursuant to and in the manner prescribed in the Scheduling Order, combined with the posting of the Notice Package on the

Settlement website, pursuant to and in the manner prescribed in the Scheduling Order, is hereby determined to be the best notice reasonably practicable under the circumstances, to constitute due and sufficient notice to all Persons entitled to receive notice of the Settlement, and in full compliance with Delaware Court of Chancery Rule 23, the requirements of due process, and all other applicable law and rules.

3. The Court hereby finally certifies the Action, for purposes of the Settlement only, as a non-opt-out class action pursuant to Court of Chancery Rules 23(a), 23(b)(1), and 23(b)(2), on behalf of the following class (the “Class”):

All record and beneficial holders of dMY II Class A Common who held such shares between the close of business on March 12, 2021 through April 20, 2021, including their successors-in-interest who obtained shares by operation of law, but excluding: (a) Defendants; (b) members of the Individual Defendants’ immediate families; (c) any person, firm, trust, corporation, or any entity related to or affiliated with any of the foregoing individuals or entities, or in which any of the foregoing individuals or entities has a controlling interest; (d) the legal representatives, heirs, successors, or assignees of any such persons or entities; and (e) any trusts, estates, entities, or accounts that held shares of dMY II Class A Common Stock or Class B Common stock for the benefit of any such excluded person (the “Excluded Persons”).

4. The Court hereby finally appoints Plaintiffs as representatives for the Class and Grant & Eisenhofer P.A., Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP, and Robbins LLP (“Plaintiffs’ Counsel”) as counsel for the Class.

5. For purposes of the Settlement only, the Court finds that each element required for certification of the Class pursuant to Court of Chancery Rules 23(a),

23(b)(1), and 23(b)(2) has been met in that: (a) the Persons who are members of the Class (collectively, the “Class Members”) are so numerous that their joinder in the Action would be impracticable; (b) there are questions of law and fact common to the Class; (c) the claims of Plaintiffs are typical of the claims of the Class; (d) in connection with the prosecution of the Action and the Settlement, Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs’ Counsel have fairly and adequately represented and protected the interests of the Class; (e) the prosecution of separate actions by individual Class Members would create a risk of inconsistent adjudications that would establish incompatible standards of conduct for Defendants, and, as a practical matter, the disposition of the Action as against Defendants would influence the disposition of any pending or future identical suits, actions, or proceedings brought by other Class Members; and (f) Defendants are alleged to have acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the Class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the Class as a whole.

6. The Settlement as provided for in the Stipulation is approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate, and in the best interests of the Class.

7. Pursuant to Court of Chancery Rule 23, the Court fully and finally approves the Settlement in all respects, the Parties are hereby authorized and directed to consummate the Settlement in accordance with the terms and provisions of the

Stipulation, and the Register of Chancery is directed to enter and docket this Order and Final Judgment dismissing the Action in its entirety and with prejudice.

8. The Stipulation shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Released Parties.

9. Upon the Effective Date, Released Plaintiffs Parties shall have fully, finally, and forever released, settled, and discharged Released Defendants Parties from and with respect to every one of Released Plaintiffs' Claims, and shall thereupon be forever barred and enjoined from commencing, instituting, prosecuting, or continuing to prosecute any of Released Plaintiffs' Claims against any of Released Defendant Parties.

10. Upon the Effective Date, Released Defendant Parties shall have fully, finally, and forever released, settled, and discharged Released Plaintiffs Parties from and with respect to every one of Released Defendants' Claims, and shall thereupon be forever barred and enjoined from commencing, instituting, prosecuting, or continuing to prosecute any of Released Defendants' Claims against any of Released Plaintiffs Parties.

11. The terms of the Settlement were negotiated at arm's-length and in good faith, and reflect a settlement that was reached voluntarily based upon adequate information and sufficient discovery and after consultation with experienced legal counsel.

12. Plaintiffs' Counsel are hereby awarded attorneys' fees in the amount of \$ _____, inclusive of litigation expenses (the "Fee and Expense Award"), which amounts the Court finds to be fair and reasonable. The Fee and Expense Award shall be paid solely out of the Settlement Fund. Neither Plaintiffs, nor Plaintiffs' Counsel, nor any Class Member, shall make, or assist any other counsel in making, any application for an award of fees, costs, or expenses in any other jurisdiction from Defendants or any other of Released Defendant Parties. In addition, each named Plaintiff is awarded a service award in the amount of \$ _____, which is to be paid from the Fee and Expense Award.

13. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the formula for the calculation of payments to eligible Class Members as set forth in the Plan of Allocation stated in the Notice provides a fair and reasonable basis upon which to allocate the proceeds of the Net Settlement Fund among eligible Class Members with due consideration having been given to administrative convenience and necessity.

14. The binding effect of this Order and Final Judgment and the obligations of Plaintiffs, Class Members, and Defendants under the Stipulation shall not be conditioned upon or subject to the resolution of any appeal from this Order and Final Judgment that relates solely to the Fee and Expense Award, and/or the Plan of Allocation.

15. The Parties and all Class Members shall be and are deemed bound by the Stipulation and this Order and Final Judgment. This Order and Final Judgment, including the release of all Released Plaintiffs' Claims against all Released Defendant Parties and the release of all Released Defendants' Claims against all Released Plaintiffs Parties, shall have res judicata, collateral estoppel, and all other preclusive effect in all pending and future lawsuits, arbitrations, or other proceedings involving any of the Released Claims against any of the Released Parties.

16. The Court finds and concludes that the Parties and their respective counsel have complied in all respects with the strictures of Delaware Court of Chancery Rule 11 in connection with the institution, prosecution, defense, and settlement of the Action.

17. If the Settlement is terminated as provided in the Stipulation or the Effective Date otherwise fails to occur: (a) this Order and Final Judgment and any related orders entered by the Court shall in all events be treated as vacated, *nunc pro tunc*; (b) the Releases provided under the Settlement shall be null and void; (c) the fact of, and negotiations and other discussions leading to, the Settlement shall not be admissible in any proceeding before any court or tribunal; (d) all proceedings in the Action shall revert to their status as of immediately prior to the Parties' agreement in principle to settle the Action on February 21, 2025, and no materials created by or received from any Party that were used in, obtained during, or related to the

Settlement discussions shall be admissible for any purpose in any court or tribunal, or used, absent consent from the disclosing Party, for any other purpose or in any other capacity, except to the extent that such materials are otherwise required to be produced during discovery in the Action or in any other litigation; and (e) the Parties shall jointly petition the Court for a revised case scheduling order.

18. Neither the Stipulation, the fact of or any terms and conditions of the Settlement, nor any communications relating thereto, are evidence, or a presumption, admission, or concession by any Party of any wrongdoing, fault, liability, or damages whatsoever, which are expressly denied and disclaimed by each of the Parties. Neither the Stipulation, nor any of its terms, conditions, and provisions, nor any of the negotiations or proceedings in connection therewith, nor any of the documents or statements referred to herein or therein, nor the Settlement, nor the fact of the Settlement, nor the Settlement proceedings, nor any statements in connection therewith, shall (a) be argued to be, used, or construed as, offered or received in evidence as, or otherwise constitute an admission, concession, presumption, proof, evidence, or a finding of any liability, fault, wrongdoing, injury, or damages, or of any wrongful conduct, acts, or omissions on the part of any of Released Defendant Parties, or of any infirmity of any defense, or of any damage to Plaintiffs or any Class Member; (b) otherwise be used to create or give rise to any inference or presumption against any of Released Defendant Parties concerning any

fact alleged or that could have been alleged, or any claim asserted or that could have been asserted, in the Action, or of any purported liability, fault, or wrongdoing of any of Released Defendants Parties or of any injury or damages to any Person; (c) be argued to be, used or construed as, offered or received in evidence as, or otherwise constitute an admission, concession, presumption, proof, evidence, or finding that any of Plaintiffs' claims are without merit, that any of Defendants had meritorious defenses, or that damages recoverable from Defendants under the Complaint would not have exceeded the Settlement Amount; or (d) be admissible, referred to, interpreted, construed, deemed, invoked, offered, or received in evidence or otherwise used by any Person in the Action, or in any other suit, action, or proceeding whatsoever, whether civil, criminal, or administrative; provided, however, that the Stipulation and/or this Order and Final Judgment may be introduced in any suit, action, or proceeding, whether in this Court or otherwise, as may be necessary to argue that the Stipulation and/or this Order and Final Judgment has res judicata, collateral estoppel, or other issue or claim preclusive effect, or to otherwise consummate or enforce the Stipulation, Settlement, and/or this Order and Final Judgment, including, without limitation, to secure any insurance rights or proceeds, or as otherwise required by law. This provision shall remain in force in the event that the Settlement is terminated for any reason whatsoever.

19. Without further order of the Court, the Parties may agree in writing to reasonable extensions of time to carry out any of the provisions of the Stipulation and the Settlement.

20. Without affecting the finality of this Order and Final Judgment in any way, the Court reserves jurisdiction over all matters relating to the administration and consummation of the Settlement.

21. The Action is hereby dismissed in its entirety and with prejudice. The Parties are to bear their own costs, except as otherwise provided in this Order and Final Judgment, the Scheduling Order, and the Stipulation.

Vice Chancellor Lori W. Will

This document constitutes a ruling of the court and should be treated as such.

Court: DE Court of Chancery Civil Action

Judge: Lori W. Will

File & Serve

Transaction ID: 78282317

Current Date: Feb 23, 2026

Case Number: 2023-0929-LWW

Case Name: Aaron Offringa, et al v. dMY Sponsor II, LLC, et al

Court Authorizer

Comments:

Plaintiffs' counsel's request for a fee and expense award of \$2,368,000 is granted. Incentive awards of \$2,500 are also granted to the representative plaintiffs.

See transcript of the court's February 23, 2026 bench ruling.

/s/ Judge Lori W. Will